From: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Sent: 14 September 2023 21:37
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Subject: Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1116/23

Flag Status: Flagged

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 14/09/2023 9:37 PM from Mr Daniel Lloyd.

Application Summary

Address:	Residents Parking Adjacent 91 Prince Charles Close Southwick West Sussex
Proposal:	Redevelopment of existing car park and ball court to provide 5no. dwellings with associated works including access, parking and landscaping
Case Officer:	Gary Peck

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Mr Daniel Lloyd
Address:	45 Prince Charles Close, Southwick, West Sussex BN42 4PQ

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Highway Access and Parking - Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	The planning applications estimate of almost 200 parking spaces on Prince Charles Close is, at best, laughable. Not only are there not that many spaces, people are regularly double stacking their parking spaces on the roadside bays, or parking on the pavement outside houses, therefore encroaching the pavement for the many prams, wheelchair users and young school age children who live on the Close or use is as their route to school/work/appointments. There is also a large increase in the number of vehicles from Mile Oak Road and Mile Oak Cresent parking vans or second cars on the Close, further reducing the available parking for residents. The manner in which people are forced to park also poses issues should emergency service vehicles be required to access a residency but their manoeuvrability is restricted by the parking arrangements.

Another concern is that, at the best of times, the road layout arrangements for exiting Prince Charles Close leave you dealing with a sense of jeopardy due to insufficient sighting distance or parking restrictions on the corner, and the road speed remaining at 30mph which unfortunately encourages people to be travelling too fast up the hill and regularly causes near misses between vehicles and/or cyclists and motorcycle users. I have seen nothing in the plans to suggest that increasing the traffic (via the building of properties) using this turning whilst not adding traffic calming measures or changing the parking restrictions through extended double yellow lines on the corners to aid sighting distance will do nothing more than make a collision almost certain.

I also cannot see how there isn't an issue with neighbouring properties being overlooked by the final construction, with people losing the privacy of their gardens and/or upstairs windows in their houses as they are bound to be able to be seen from the new housing.

Council maintenance on the Close is reasonable, with regular veg clearance, but the drainage toward the bottom of the Close has been block for a number of years and shows no sign of being cleared, and with more housing the drainage flow can surely only increase.

Finally, the initial plans hinted at improving the local facilities (Mile Oak Road Open Space and the area at the bottom left of the Close which currently is an unmaintained basketball area) for the many children that live in the area, but without this being a hard and fast promise at the beginning of the project, we are likely to be left with worse facilities to service more families due to budgeting overspends.

Thank you for taking the time to read my concerns.

Kind regards