

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 23/09/2025 8:57 PM from Mr Stephen Roberts.

Application Summary

Address:	Marine View 111 Marine Parade Worthing West Sussex BN11 3QG
Proposal:	CHANGE OF USE FROM GUEST HOUSE (CLASS C1) TO LARGE HOUSE IN MULTIPLE OCCUPATION (SUI GENERIS)
Case Officer:	Jo Morin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	Mr Stephen Roberts
Email:	
Address:	Flat 4 Cavendish House 115 - 116 Marine Parade Worthing West Sussex

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Design- Highway Access and Parking- Loss of General Amenity- Overdevelopment- Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	<p>Further to my original objection, I would like to raise an apparent inconsistency regarding the supporting statement from the developer's agent that has been given in response to the multiple objections that have been received on this planning proposal.</p> <p>The supporting statement, issued by DJM Town Planning Ltd., states the tenant profile of the proposed HMO will typically be key workers. This clearly implies tenants will be employed and have 12 month tenancies, rather than being in need of emergency accommodation and housed temporarily.</p>

However, in the details of the pre-application advice received, which can be found in the documents for this application, it is stated that the applicant discussed the HMO proposal with James Elliot, Senior Environment Health Officer, and Steven Hay, Acquisitions and Landlord Support Coordinator for Worthing Borough Council. The stated outcome of this discussion was a confirmation that there is an urgent need in the borough for emergency and social housing, and temporary accommodation.

This initial pre-application discussion statement appears to directly contradict the claims made in the subsequent supporting statement, with regards to the proposed tenant profile of the HMO. This obviously calls into question the veracity of the supporting statement made by DJM Town planning Ltd.

Kind regards