Gmail by Google

Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Fwd: Cecil Norris House

1 message

Gary Peck <gary.peck@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 4 February 2019 at 09:18
To: Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Please can this be indexed as a representation letter for AWDM/1742/18.

Thanks

Gary

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Danny McBride** [redacted]
Date: Mon, 4 Feb 2019 at 07:07
Subject: Re: Cecil Norris House
To: Gary Peck <gary.peck@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Morning Gary,

Thank you for your email and for confirming the site visit this coming Thursday.

Yes we were very disappointed and astonished that every point from every objection has been totally ignored. Our biggest concern is the overriding of the councils own planning policy in so many places. We are also concerned about the integrity of the parking report. It is clear for all to see that the intention of a report carried out between 2am and 5am is clearly created to give a misrepresentation of the actual parking conditions. It would be helpful if you could clarify why the planning department are willing to accept such a report, knowing that it does not reflect the reality on the ground and also, more importantly, completely contradicts your own parking assessment for Shoreham. If you could bring some reasoning to this that would be helpful.

So if you could clarify why ADC are able to override their own planning policy?

We understand the pressure on housing and haven't once mentioned that this development shouldn't happen at all. We have sought from the beginning that this could and should have been a win-win for Adur residents and the council. A scheme could easily have been created to accommodate the objections and still house the same number of occupants. The design of the building could have easily been thought through to produce a flagship development for Adur. Unfortunately the approach from the outset never had this mind. The developers comment to us that "He could build anything he wanted to, even a 5 storey block of flats, and we wouldn't stand a chance even if we appealed" has turned out to be correct. He clearly knew at the time more than we did as to how the process works.

We are happy for this email to be posted on the website,

Regards

Danny & Jaine McBride

[redacted]