Re: Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1782/16

Sandra clapton [redacted] 3 December 2016 at 12:53
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Yes, I sent this via a friend. However I do not want to continue with my objection having realised that this is only a small extension that will not affect day light blocking my property at 151, Richard Munday

On Fri, Dec 2, 2016 at 2:18 PM, <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> wrote:

> Mr Richard Munday,
>
> You have been sent this email because you or somebody else has submitted a comment on a Planning Application to your local authority using your email address. A summary of your comments is provided below.
>
> Comments were submitted at 2:21 PM on 02 Dec 2016 from Mr Richard Munday.
>
> ## Application Summary
>
> | | |
> |---|---|
> | **Address:** | First Floor Flat 149 South Street Lancing West Sussex BN15 8BD |
> | **Proposal:** | First floor extension to rear elevation. |
> | **Case Officer:** | Rebekah Smith |
>
> Click for further information
>
> ## Customer Details
>
> | | |
> |---|---|
> | **Name:** | Mr Richard Munday |
> | **Email:** | [redacted] |
> | **Address:** | 151 South Street, Lancing, West Sussex BN15 8BD |
>
> ## Comments Details
>
> | | |
> |---|---|
> | **Commenter Type:** | Neighbour |
> | **Stance:** | Customer objects to the Planning Application |
> | **Reasons for comment:** | - Highway Access and Parking
- Overdevelopment
- Privacy Light and Noise |
> | **Comments:** | This is the second application this year, it seems to me (owner of several properties) with tenants that are affected and are very concerned. that the loss of light to 151, where my tenant has lived for over 10 years will make the flat intolerable, dark and gloomy. Light to the main kitchen will be lost, via a structure that will take away natural light flow. We are concerned, I note that you call this a First floor flat. It is a ground floor and first floor. The ground floor has quite significant space, and you may be mislead by this in thinking that the [r[erty is very small, when in fact it is quite a lare accommodation.
Builders can only use the front access. With parking already at a premium and with development already taking place in this part of South Street, it will be impossible for the shops to trade.
If the back of the premises are to be used, it will mean corssing provate land, and taking maerials thrugh a back lane, which is very narrow about 30" wide and is the route for severa of my tenants |

whose safety wuld be put at risk.
We said no previously, and on the LIGHT issue aloe both 151 and 147 South Street, would have serious ligh issue problems,
Richarm Munday