
From:	Melissa Hitchcock <melissa@burns-guthrie.co.uk> on behalf of Melissa Hitchcock
Sent:	07 July 2022 15:59
To:	Peter Barnett
Cc:	Peter Akhurst; Lee Murley (head@seaside.w-sussex.sch.uk); Libby Christie
Subject:	3018 FW: Consultee Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0930/22
Attachments:	5343_002R_4-0_PA_E.pdf
Flag Status:	Flagged

Dear Peter

Please see comment below from our Acoustic Consultant and the amended report incorporating 18m distance.

Kind Regards
Mel



Tel: 01892 824193
Home working: 01424 773665

Visit our Website
Follow us on Twitter
Take a peek at our Instagram

From: Peter Akhurst <peter@andersonacoustics.co.uk>
Sent: 07 July 2022 15:53
To: Melissa Hitchcock <melissa@burns-guthrie.co.uk>
Cc: Libby Christie <secretary@nhat.co.uk>; Lee Murley (head@seaside.w-sussex.sch.uk) <head@seaside.w-sussex.sch.uk>
Subject: RE: Consultee Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0930/22

Hi Mel,

The calculation in Appendix D used 20m rather than the 18m stated within the text in Section 2. The difference is distance between the two gives rise to a 1dB increase in noise emission prediction. I this is based upon approximately 20m to the rear façade of the house, 18m to the façade of the conservatory.

I attach an updated report with 18m calculations included in appendix D, representing a worst case.

Kind regards,
Peter