Gmail by Google

Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1110/15

1 message

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 26 August 2015 at 14:53
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 2:51 PM on 26 Aug 2015 from Mr Ashley Neal-Fuller.

Application Summary

Address: 29 Firle Road Lancing West Sussex BN15 0NY

Proposal: Detached garage to west of dwelling with study/games room and storage above.

Case Officer: Peter Barnett

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Mr Ashley Neal-Fuller

Email: [redacted]

Address: 36 Firle Road Lancing

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:
- Design
- Loss of General Amenity
- Overdevelopment
- Privacy Light and Noise

Comments: Regarding the proposal for a garage opposite to us – I feel that it should be positioned vertically such that the existing driveway will serve it on the level. The positioning of the intended garage is where there is currently a grass embankment, and I am assuming and hoping that this embankment will be hollowed out to provide a flat base for the garage such that a car can drive in along the existing driveway and left into the garage without any change of elevation. There is a thought that the garage may be built higher than the existing driveway in order to deliberately allow the window of the intended upstairs of the garage to have a better view over the south aspect. Any upward sloping of the driveway into the new garage would be unnecessary and would be construed as trying to make the garage higher than needed – just for a view and thus a level of overlook which could be avoided by building at the level of the existing driveway (and

existing garage). The roof space above the car storage of the garage could be made higher within to accommodate a games room of unnecessary height – i.e. is the pitch of the roof sufficient to cover the area or steeper to allow for extra head-height in what is effectively a loft space used as a games room with a velux. I also know the garage would constitute a very large proportion of the total field of view (long with the house) from the neighbours at no 38 and fully support their worries about their only downstairs aspect being obscured so much. At least I would hope that if the garage were to be built at an elevation consistent with a level driveway approach and a normal roof height then any excessive loss of aspect could be alleviated. The building could be positioned to facilitate a higher level of overlook which could be avoided.