



Support Planning <support.planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0737/26

1 message

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>
To: support.planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

10 July 2026 at 18:30

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10/07/2026 6:30 PM from Mrs Tom Spindlow.

Application Summary

Address:	Land South East Corner Of Amberley Drive Marine Drive Worthing West Sussex
Proposal:	Commercial use of regenerated land, including use as a community green space for recreational and leisure use. Retention of land for biodiversity use. Bore hole for extraction of natural water. Use of land to produce natural green energy, for example solar. Provision of vehicle parking use (including overnight camping use for kite surfers and sea swimmers), 2 x 6m flag poles, provision of food and drink from temporary structures, mobile toilets, shower and baby changing facilities. Two recessed shipping containers for site storage and use. Tree shaded sandpit and tumble-down mound for young children and toddlers to use. Animals to use for entertainment purposes, for example Shetland ponies. A decorative flowerpot boat at site entrance. Bin storage use. A wheeled advertising trailer, measuring approx. 5 metres by 2.5 metres located within the site boundary to promote Worthing, local events and tourism.
Case Officer:	Gary Peck

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	Mrs Tom Spindlow
Email:	
Address:	35 Beehive Lane Ferring Worthing

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity - Other - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise - Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	please find my objection to the planning application is as follows: 1. Contrary to the Local Plan, which reserves this area as Local Green Gap, not available for development of any sort (Local Plan pages 58 - 61) 2. Not compatible with the site's designation as a Local Wildlife Site 3. Biodiversity loss, when biodiversity gain is required 4. The proposal is incoherent and not internally consistent - parking for 150 vehicles, food and drink stalls, toilets and shower facilities, entertainment from animals, large

shipping containers, a bore hole for water, solar panels, and a play area for children could not be contained in the 2-acre site.

5. The proposed activities on the site, the vehicles and equipment, and the shipping containers for storage out of season would detract from the visual appeal of the Goring Gap.

6. The entry and exit of vehicles would cause unacceptable traffic congestion in Marine Crescent and adjacent roads.

7. Allowing overnight accommodation for camper vans would encourage overnight parking of motor homes on the carriageway, which WSCC has prohibited.

8. The history of the site is inaccurate and misleading. Planning applications for housing were refused by WSCC in 1951 and 1954 and upheld on appeal. The Inspector said, 'It would be wrong for anything to be done to impair the effective agricultural use of the land'. Subsequent applications and appeals have all been rejected. The last use of this land was agricultural, not housing development.

Kind regards