



Support Planning <support.planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0737/26

1 message

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>
To: support.planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

12 July 2026 at 19:20

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 12/07/2026 7:20 PM from Mr Christopher Sheffield.

Application Summary

Address:	Land South East Corner Of Amberley Drive Marine Drive Worthing West Sussex
Proposal:	Commercial use of regenerated land, including use as a community green space for recreational and leisure use. Retention of land for biodiversity use. Bore hole for extraction of natural water. Use of land to produce natural green energy, for example solar. Provision of vehicle parking use (including overnight camping use for kite surfers and sea swimmers), 2 x 6m flag poles, provision of food and drink from temporary structures, mobile toilets, shower and baby changing facilities. Two recessed shipping containers for site storage and use. Tree shaded sandpit and tumble-down mound for young children and toddlers to use. Animals to use for entertainment purposes, for example Shetland ponies. A decorative flowerpot boat at site entrance. Bin storage use. A wheeled advertising trailer, measuring approx. 5 metres by 2.5 metres located within the site boundary to promote Worthing, local events and tourism.
Case Officer:	Gary Peck

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	Mr Christopher Sheffield
Email:	
Address:	21 FOAMCOURT WAY FERRING Worthing

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity - Other - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	I strongly object to this proposal, mainly because the land is part of a green gap that, due to its increased rarity in the area, is even more important to preserve than it was when South Ferring and Goring were laid out in the 1920s and 30s. This gap is a relatively small but precious open area whose character will be significantly changed by a development on even a limited part of it. Furthermore such a change in character will pave the way for development of more of it and its gradual permanent erosion. The application does not suggest a coherent business plan but reads like a fairly

random collection of ill-thought out ideas. Importantly none of these would be of any significant public benefit to the area, and some would be actively detrimental for reasons pointed out by other objectors. Between Marine Drive and the sea there is already a large recreational space stretching over a mile between Sea Lane Ferring and the Sailing Club which is very popular and there is no need to extend this over a very busy road to an area to the north.

The open land at Goring Gap is fulfilling an important function for both people and wildlife and it fulfils that function simply by being left alone.

I have not gone into more detail simply because numerous other objectors have done so and I do not wish to repeat that detail unnecessarily.

Kind regards