24 Kings Walk
Shoreham-by-Sea
West Sussex BN43 5LG
[redacted]

Mr James Appleton
Executive Head of Planning, Community and Wellbeing
Civic Centre
Ham Road
Shoreham-by-Sea
West Sussex
BN43 6PR

17 March 2011

Dear Mr Appleton

Your Ref: ADC/0485/10 – Rear extension and rooms in roof space (including roof extensions with front and rear gables) (Amended Plan)
1 Mardyke, Shoreham-by-Sea, West Sussex BN43 5LL

I write to you following the Planning Committee Meeting, which took place at Adur Civic Centre on 2 March, with a few points which I would like to make at this stage, so that they may hopefully be considered before final permission is issued in writing.

My main concern is the four planned windows which will look straight into my bathroom and two bedrooms. Questions were raised about the height of the windows and it was stated that the sills would be 1.7 metres above floor level. This would, in effect, allow any person over 1.7 metres (and there are many!) to see out. My neighbour at No 25, and myself , have both been surprised at seeing workmen looking out of the existing window straight into our bathroom and bedrooms. This would make these windows principal windows and they would be too close to our principal windows. Is there a real need to have windows on the south side as the rooms will have windows on the north side and also east and west? Therefore there will be plenty of light into the rooms. I ask that they be disallowed or, at least, be of obscured glass.

During the statement given by the applicant she repeatedly said that she had taken advice from your officers on her application and that she had adhered to all suggestions made, in order to get permission granted. It is unfortunate that the occupants of Nos 23, 24 and 25 Kings Walk were not afforded the same assistance. In fact I was told by Mr Morgan that the decision had been made and that it was not worth coming around to see my property.

I would be most grateful if further consideration could be given to the devastating impact this planned building will have on our privacy and should you wish to make a visit to see the properties at Nos 23, 24 and 25 yourself, we would be only too happy to show you.

Would you please give these points your serious consideration and I look forward to hearing from you in the very near future.

Yours sincerely

[redacted]

Heather V Milton